# **Judicial Impact Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 1639 HB	Title: Govt surveillance technology	Agency:	055-Admin Office of the Courts
Part I: Estimates			
No Fiscal Impact			
<b>Estimated Cash Receipts to:</b>			
N	on-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see o	liscussion.	
Estimated Expenditures from:			
Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.			
The revenue and expenditure estimal subject to the provisions of RCW 43.	tes on this page represent the most likely fiscal impo	act. Responsibility for expendit	ures may be
Check applicable boxes and follow	w corresponding instructions:		
If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V.	\$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium	n or in subsequent biennia, c	omplete entire fiscal note
	50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium of	r in subsequent biennia, com	plete this page only (Part I).
Capital budget impact, comp	lete Part IV.		
Legislative Contact Cassie Jone	S	Phone: 360-786-7303	Date: 01/28/2015
Agency Preparation: David Ellio	tt	Phone: 360-705-5229	Date: 01/29/2015
Agency Approval: Ramsey Rac	dwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/29/2015

Date:

Phone:

OFM Review:

## **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would add to chapter 9.73 RCW [Privacy, violating right of].

Sections with potential court impact:

Section 2 would create a new section containing relevant definitions.

Section 3 would create a new section prohibiting government agencies from using extraordinary sensing devices from extraordinary vantage points.

Section 8 would create a new section permitting criminal or regulatory law enforcement agencies to use extraordinary sensing devices from extraordinary vantage points pursuant to a warrant.

Section 9 would create a new section permitting criminal or regulatory law enforcement agencies to use extraordinary sensing devices from extraordinary vantage points in case of emergency.

Sections 12 would create a cause of action for real damages.

#### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

There are no revenues to the courts expected from the bill.

#### II. C - Expenditures

There is no available data upon which to base reasonable estimates of impact to the courts. There may be an increase in warrant requests for superior courts and courts of limited jurisdiction (district and municipal). Generally, warrant issuance takes about 10 to 15 minutes of judicial time. These warrants may replace warrants issued for existing technologies, if so there may be little increase in warrant activity.

Each additional warrant would require judicial officer time and support staff time to hear the probable cause and process the warrant request.

For illustrative purposes it is estimated that there would need to be more than 300 new warrant requests resulting from the bill to exceed \$50,000 in annual court impact.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact